arXiv:1406.2601v1 [math.CO] 10 Jun 2014

A SEMIGROUP IDENTITY FOR TROPICAL 3×3 MATRICES

YAROSLAV SHITOV

Abstract. We construct a nontrivial identity which holds in the semigroup of tropical 3-by-3 matrices.

The *tropical semiring* is the set $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers equipped with the tropical arithmetic, that is, the operations $a \oplus b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \otimes b = a + b$. The product of tropical matrices is defined as the ordinary product over a field with $+$ and $\cdot$ replaced by the tropical operations $\oplus$ and $\otimes$. We study the problem of identities in semigroup of tropical n-by-n matrices, previously considered by Izhakian and Margolis [3] and Izhakian [4]. It is conjectured [3] that this semigroup admits a nontrivial identity for every n, but only special cases of this conjecture have been proven. Namely, the paper [3] contains an identity that holds for 2-by-2 matrices, and in [4] the identity for n-by-n upper triangular matrices is constructed.

We develop a technique which seems to be useful for treating this problem. Our paper is structured as follows. In Section 1, we recall the basic facts and definitions. In Section 2, we carry out a deeper study of the notion of *sign-singularity* of tropical matrices. In Section 3, the concept of a *diagonally dominant* matrix is introduced, and a useful relation between diagonal dominance and singularity is pointed out. In Section 4, we construct a semigroup identity for diagonally dominant matrices; this result can be seen as a generalization of the similar result [4] for triangular matrices. In Section 5, we demonstrate our technique in use, and we prove the conjecture of Izhakian and Margolis in the case $n = 3$.

1. Preliminaries

We will denote the set of tropical n-by-n matrices by $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. By A_{ij} or $[A]_{ij}$ we denote an (i, j)th entry of a matrix A. The tropical product of matrices A and B is denoted by the concatenation AB. Recall that by definition, the (i, j)th entry of AB equals $\min_{t=1}^{n}\{A_{it} + B_{tj}\}$ for any i and j; clearly, the product operation is associative, so we can think of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as a semigroup. We say that $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfies a nontrivial *semigroup identity* if there are different words $\mathcal{U}(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{V}(x, y)$ from the free semigroup $\{x, y\}^*$ such that the condition $\mathcal{U}(A, B) = \mathcal{V}(A, B)$ holds for every A and B from $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

For any $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we define a *similarity transformation* on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as that sending C to the matrix with (i, j)th entry equal to $C_{ij} + s_i - s_j$. Subsets $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are called *similar* if there is a similarity transformation sending S_1 to S_2. Also, note that similarity is a semigroup automorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

The *tropical permanent* of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined as $\mathrm{perm}(A) = \min_\sigma \left\{A_{1,\sigma(1)} + \ldots + A_{n,\sigma(n)}\right\}$, where σ runs over the symmetric group on

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A80, 20M05.

Key words and phrases. Tropical algebra, matrix theory, semigroup identity.

$\{1,\dots,n\}$. We will write $\Sigma(A)$ for the set of all permutations τ providing the minimum for permanent, that is, satisfying $A_{1,\tau(1)}+\ldots+A_{n,\tau(n)}=\mathrm{perm}(A)$. We say that A is *sign-nonsingular* if all permutations in $\Sigma(A)$ have the same parity.

2. Some properties of singular matrices

In the proof of the following theorem, we denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the semiring of all finite non-empty formal sums of the form $s=c_1X^{e_1}+\ldots+c_tX^{e_t}$, where $c_i,e_i\in\mathbb{R}$ and $c_i>0$. Denote by $\deg s$ the *degree* of the sum s, that is, the minimal possible value of e_τ over all $\tau\in\{1,\dots,t\}$. Defining the operations on $\mathcal{S}$ as formal addition and multiplication, we note that the degree mapping is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{S}$ to the tropical semiring. Our semiring $\mathcal{S}$ is closely related to the fields with non-Archimedean valuations, which are useful in tropical geometry [2]. In particular, $\mathcal{S}$ is embedded in the quotient field of the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{R}]$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the tropical product AB of matrices A and B from $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is sign-nonsingular, assume also $\sigma\in\Sigma(A)$ and $\tau\in\Sigma(B)$. Then we have $\tau\sigma\in\Sigma(AB)$ and $\mathrm{perm}(A)+\mathrm{perm}(B)=\mathrm{perm}(AB)$.*

Proof. Step 1. Denote $\psi=\tau\sigma$. We have $[AB]_{i,\psi(i)}\leq A_{i,\sigma(i)}+B_{\sigma(i),\psi(i)}$ by definition of tropical product, so that $\mathrm{perm}(AB)\leq\sum_{i=1}^n[AB]_{i,\psi(i)}\leq\mathrm{perm}(A)+\mathrm{perm}(B)$.

Step 2. Construct the matrices A' and B' over $\mathcal{S}$ by setting $A'_{ij}=\xi_{ij}X^{A_{ij}}$ and $B'_{ij}=\chi_{ij}X^{B_{ij}}$. Taking the coefficients ξ and χ algebraically independent in common, we ensure that $\deg\det A'=\mathrm{perm}(A)$ and $\deg\det B'=\mathrm{perm}(B)$. Define the matrix C' as the usual product of matrices A' and B'. Since deg is a homomorphism, we have $\deg\det C'=\mathrm{perm}(A)+\mathrm{perm}(B)$.

Step 3. Denote by π a permutation for which $C'_{1,\pi(1)}\dots C'_{n,\pi(n)}$ has the minimal possible degree d. If $d<\mathrm{perm}(A)+\mathrm{perm}(B)$, then, by the result of Step 2, there should be a permutation π' of parity different from that of π for which $C'_{1,\pi'(1)}\dots C'_{n,\pi'(n)}$ has degree d. Since deg is a homomorphism, the matrix AB can be obtained from C' by entrywise application of the degree mapping. Therefore $\mathrm{perm}(AB)=d$, and then $\pi,\pi'\in\Sigma(AB)$, so AB is sign-singular.

Step 4. The contradiction obtained in Step 3 shows that $d\geq\mathrm{perm}(A)+\mathrm{perm}(B)$, that is, $\mathrm{perm}(AB)\geq\mathrm{perm}(A)+\mathrm{perm}(B)$. Now the result follows from Step 1. □

Corollary 2.2. *If the tropical product AB of square matrices is sign-nonsingular, then both A and B are sign-nonsingular as well.*

Proof. Assume $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in\Sigma(A)$ and $\tau_1,\tau_2\in\Sigma(B)$, then $\tau_i\sigma_j\in\Sigma(AB)$ by Theorem 2.1. Since $\Sigma(AB)$ consists of the permutations of the same parity, we deduce that σ_1 and σ_2 have the same parity and τ_1 and τ_2 are of the same parity. □

The following corollaries deal with the tropical power A^k of a matrix A.

Corollary 2.3. *For $A\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, either $A^{n!}$ is sign-singular or $id\in\Sigma(A^{n!})$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Assume $A,B\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ and both $A^{n!}B^{n!}$ and $B^{n!}A^{n!}$ are sign-nonsingular. Then, $\left[A^{n!}B^{n!}\right]_{ii}=\left[B^{n!}A^{n!}\right]_{ii}$ for every i.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, the matrices $A^{n!}B^{n!}$ and $B^{n!}A^{n!}$ both have permanent $\mathrm{perm}(A^{n!})+\mathrm{perm}(B^{n!})$, which is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n\left[A^{n!}\right]_{ii}+\sum_{i=1}^n\left[B^{n!}\right]_{ii}$ by Corollary 2.3. The definition of tropical product implies $\left[A^{n!}B^{n!}\right]_{ii}\leq\left[A^{n!}\right]_{ii}+\left[B^{n!}\right]_{ii}$, $\left[B^{n!}A^{n!}\right]_{ii}\leq\left[A^{n!}\right]_{ii}+\left[B^{n!}\right]_{ii}$, thus $\left[A^{n!}B^{n!}\right]_{ii}=\left[B^{n!}A^{n!}\right]_{ii}=\left[A^{n!}\right]_{ii}+\left[B^{n!}\right]_{ii}$. □

Let us turn our attention to sign-singular matrices of order 3. We will use the following characterization, which is well-known in tropical linear algebra.

Lemma 2.5. [1] *Let a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ be sign-singular. Then there are matrices $P \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 2}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 3}$ satisfying $PQ = A$.*

Proof. In terms of [1], we need to show that the factor rank of A can not exceed 2 if its determinantal rank does not exceed 2. This result follows from Theorem 8.3 and Corollary 8.12 of [1]. □

We finalize the section by showing how to construct identities for matrices which admit factorizations as those in Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.6. *Consider matrices $A = P_1Q_1$ and $B = P_2Q_2$, where $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)\times n}$ and $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times(n+1)}$. If the identity $\mathcal{U}_n(A', B') = \mathcal{V}_n(A', B')$ holds for all matrices of order n, then $\mathcal{U}_n(AA, AB)A = \mathcal{V}_n(AA, AB)A$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to note that $\mathcal{U}_n(AA, AB)A = P_1\mathcal{U}_n(Q_1P_1Q_1P_1, Q_1P_2Q_2P_1)Q_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_n(AA, AB)A = P_1\,\mathcal{V}_n(Q_1P_1Q_1P_1, Q_1P_2Q_2P_1)\,Q_1$. □

3. Matrices that are not diagonally dominant

Now let H be a positive real; we say that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is *diagonally H-dominant* if the inequality $A_{ij} \geq \max\{A_{ii}, A_{jj}\} + H|A_{ii} - A_{jj}|$ holds for all i, j. We say that $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ form a *diagonally H-dominant pair* if (1) $A_{ii} = B_{ii}$, for all i, and (2) the matrix $A \oplus B$, whose (i, j)th entry equals $\min\{A_{ij}, B_{ij}\}$, is diagonally H-dominant.

Remark 3.1. Izhakian [4] considers the tropical semiring extended by an infinite positive element ∞, and defines a matrix A to be upper triangular if $A_{ij} = \infty$ whenever $i > j$. We note that any pair U of upper triangular matrices is similar to a diagonally H-dominant pair, for arbitrarily large H. Also, U can be seen as a limit of certain sequence of diagonally H-dominant pairs, up to similarity.

Let us prove some properties of diagonally dominant matrices.

Lemma 3.2. *Let a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ satisfy $M_{ii} = 0$, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Assume that for any permutation σ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, it holds that $M_{1\sigma(1)} + \ldots + M_{n\sigma(n)} \geq 0$. Then, M is similar to a matrix whose entries are nonnegative.*

Proof. The *Hungarian method* for solving assignment problem [5] allows one to find $r_i, s_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $M_{ij} + r_i + s_j$ is nonnegative for any i and j. Since the transformation $M'_{ij} = M_{ij} + r_i + s_j$ does not change the set of all permutations σ that provide the minimum for $M_{1\sigma(1)} + \ldots + M_{n\sigma(n)}$, we conclude that $r_i = -s_i$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let C be an n-by-n matrix and H a positive real. Assume that for any set $K \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and for any cyclic permutation σ on K it holds that*

$$\sum_{\kappa\in K} C_{\kappa,\sigma(\kappa)} \geq |K| \max_{\kappa\in K}\{C_{\kappa\kappa}\} + H \sum_{\kappa\in K} |C_{\kappa,\kappa} - C_{\sigma(\kappa),\sigma(\kappa)}|.$$

Then C is similar to a diagonally H-dominant matrix.

Proof. Consider a matrix D with (i, j)th entry defined as $C_{ij} - H|C_{ii} - C_{jj}| - \max\{C_{ii}, C_{jj}\}$. For any $K \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and a cyclic permutation σ on K, we have

$$\sum_{\kappa\in K} D_{\kappa,\sigma(\kappa)} = \sum_{\kappa\in K} C_{\kappa,\sigma(\kappa)} - H \sum_{\kappa\in K} |C_{\kappa,\kappa} - C_{\sigma(\kappa),\sigma(\kappa)}| - \sum_{\kappa\in K} \max\{C_{\kappa,\kappa}, C_{\sigma(\kappa),\sigma(\kappa)}\},$$

so that $\sum_{\kappa\in K} D_{\kappa,\sigma(\kappa)} \geq |K| \max_{\kappa\in K}\{C_{\kappa\kappa}\} - \sum_{\kappa\in K} \max\{C_{\kappa,\kappa}, C_{\sigma(\kappa),\sigma(\kappa)}\} \geq 0$.

Now we see that the matrix D satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, so there are $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the number $D_{ij} - r_i + r_j$ is nonnegative, for all i and j. So the number $C'_{ij} = C_{ij} - r_i + r_j$ is not less than $H|C_{ii} - C_{jj}| + \max\{C_{ii}, C_{jj}\}$, and the matrix C' is H-diagonally dominant. □

The following is a key result of the section. We denote by $w_1, \ldots, w_{2^n} \in \{x, y\}^*$ all the words of length n, and also $\Gamma(x, y) = w_1 \ldots w_{2^n}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ satisfy $id \in \Sigma(A) \cap \Sigma(B)$ and $A_{ii} = B_{ii}$, for every i. If A, B are not similar to a diagonally h-dominant pair, then the matrix $\Gamma(A^{h+1}, B^{h+1})$ is sign-singular.*

Proof. Denote $C = A \oplus B$. Lemma 3.3 shows that, for some $K \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and a cyclic permutation σ on K, we have

$$\sum_{\kappa\in K} C_{\kappa,\sigma(\kappa)} < |K| \max_{\kappa\in K}\{C_{\kappa\kappa}\} + h \sum_{\kappa\in K} |C_{\kappa,\kappa} - C_{\sigma(\kappa),\sigma(\kappa)}|. \tag{3.1}$$

We can assume that $\sigma = (k_1\, k_2 \ldots k_t)$ and that $C_{k_1k_1}$ is maximal over all C_{kk} with $k \in K$. We set $X(i, j) = A$ when $A_{ij} < B_{ij}$, and $X(i, j) = B$ otherwise. In this notation, we have $\chi_{ij} := \left[X(i, j)^{h+1}\right]_{ij} \leq C_{ij} + h \min\{C_{ii}, C_{jj}\}$.

Denoting by P the product $(X(k_1, k_2))^{h+1} \ldots (X(k_t, k_1))^{h+1}$, we obtain $P_{k_1k_1} \leq \chi_{k_1k_2} + \ldots + \chi_{k_tk_1}$, so that

$$P_{k_1k_1} \leq \sum_{\kappa\in K} C_{\kappa,\sigma(\kappa)} + h \sum_{\kappa\in K} \min\{C_{\kappa,\kappa}, C_{\sigma(\kappa),\sigma(\kappa)}\},$$

which implies by taking into account (3.1) that $P_{k_1k_1} < (h+1)|K|C_{k_1k_1}$. Also, note that $P_{ii} \leq (h + 1)|K|C_{ii}$, so that $\mathrm{perm}(P) < (h + 1)|K| \sum_{i=1}^n C_{ii}$.

If $\Gamma(A^{h+1}, B^{h+1})$ was sign-nonsingular, so would be P by Corollary 2.2. Then, Theorem 2.1 would imply $\mathrm{perm}(P) = (h + 1)|K| \sum_{i=1}^n C_{ii}$, a contradiction. □

4. Identities for diagonally dominant matrices

In this section, we construct a semigroup identity which holds, if H is sufficiently large, for diagonally H-dominant matrices. As Remark 3.1 shows, this result generalizes a similar result [4] for upper triangular matrices.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ be a diagonally H-dominant matrix. Then, for any fixed index i, the expression*

$$\alpha = A_{ii_1} + A_{i_1i_2} + \ldots + A_{i_{h-1}i_h} + A_{i_hi}$$

attains its minimum when $i_1 = \ldots = i_h = i$, provided that $h + 1 \leq H$.

Proof. Let us remove a term i_k from the sequence $(i, i_1, \ldots, i_h, i)$ if it is equal to the preceding term. Denote the resulting sequence by $J = (j_0 \ldots j_t)$; also, denote by $j \in J$ the index for which A_{jj} is minimal. Assuming $t > 0$, we get $\alpha \geq A_{j_0j_1} + \ldots + A_{j_{t-1}j_t} + (h - t + 1)A_{jj}$. Since A is H-dominant, we obtain $\alpha \geq (h + 1)A_{jj} + H \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} |A_{j_\tau j_\tau} - A_{j_{\tau+1}j_{\tau+1}}|$. The triangle inequality implies $\alpha \geq (h + 1) \max_{g\in J} A_{gg} \geq (h + 1)A_{ii}$. It remains to note that $\alpha = (h + 1)A_{ii}$ when $i_1 = \ldots = i_h = i$. □

In the following lemma, we denote by $G \in \{A, B\}^*$ an arbitrary word which contains, as subwords, all the words from $\{A, B\}^*$ that have length n.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ be a diagonally h-dominant pair; denote by g the length of G and assume $h = 2ng+1$. Choose $X^{(ng+1)} \in \{A, B\}$ arbitrarily and denote by $X^{(t)}$ the tth letter of the word $G^n X^{(ng+1)} G^n$. Then, for any fixed κ_0 and κ_h, the expression*

$$\beta = X^{(1)}_{\kappa_0,\kappa_1} + \ldots + X^{(h)}_{\kappa_{h-1},\kappa_h}$$

attains its minimum on some tuple $(\kappa_1 \ldots \kappa_{h-1})$ satisfying $\kappa_{ng} = \kappa_{ng+1}$.

Proof. Step 1. For $n = 1$, the result is trivial; we assume $n > 1$ and proceed by induction. Let a tuple $K = (\kappa_1 \ldots \kappa_{h-1})$ provide the minimum for β. By Lemma 4.1, we can assume that $\kappa_p = \kappa_q$ implies $\kappa_r = \kappa_p$, provided that $p < r < q$. Now the consideration splits into the two cases each of which we treat separately.

Step 2. Assume there is $u \le g$ such that $\kappa_0 \ne \kappa_u$ (or, similarly, there is $u \ge h-g$ such that $\kappa_h \ne \kappa_u$). By Step 1, κ_0 does not occur among κ_v with $v \ge g$ (in the former case, κ_h does not occur among κ_v with $v \le h-g$). Let us set $c_g = \kappa_g$ and $c_{h-g} = \kappa_{h-g}$. By induction, we can find indexes $c_{g+1}, \ldots, c_{h-g-1}$ which minimize the expression $X^{(g+1)}_{c_g,c_{g+1}} + \ldots + X^{(h-g)}_{c_{h-g-1},c_{h-g}}$ and satisfy $c_{ng} = c_{ng+1}$. Now we are done if we change κ_u in K by c_u, for any $u \in \{g, \ldots, h-g\}$.

Step 3. Now we can assume that $\kappa_i = \kappa_0$ if $i \le g$, and that $\kappa_i = \kappa_h$ if $i \ge h-g$. Denote by e any index for which $A_{\kappa_e\kappa_e}$ is minimal possible; by $\{j_1, \ldots, j_t\}$ denote the set of all indexes satisfying $\kappa_{j-1} \ne \kappa_j$. Up to renumbering, we can assume $j_1 < \ldots < j_s \le e < j_{s+1} < \ldots < j_t$.

By convention, G has a subword $X^{(j_1)} \ldots X^{(j_s)}$, so there are consecutive integers $r+1, \ldots, r+s \in \{1, \ldots, g\}$ satisfying $X^{(j_\sigma)} = X^{(r+\sigma)}$, for any $\sigma \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Similarly, there are consecutive integers $q+s+1, \ldots, q+t \in \{h-g+1, \ldots, h\}$ satisfying $X^{(j_\pi)} = X^{(q+\pi)}$, for any $\pi \in \{s+1, \ldots, t\}$. Now we set (1) $c_i = \kappa_0$ if $i \le r$, (2) $c_i = \kappa_h$ if $i > q+t$, (3) $c_i = \kappa_e$ if $r+s < i \le q+s$, (4) $c_{r+\sigma} = \kappa_{j_\sigma}$ if $\sigma \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, (5) $c_{q+\pi} = \kappa_{j_\pi}$ if $\pi \in \{s+1, \ldots, t\}$. It remains to note that $c_{ng} = c_{ng+1} = \kappa_e$ and $X^{(1)}_{c_0,c_1} + \ldots + X^{(h)}_{c_{h-1},c_h} \le \beta$. □

Let us prove the main result of the section. Assuming that $w_1, \ldots, w_{2^n}$ is a list of all words over the alphabet $\{A, B\}$ that have length n, we denote $\Gamma = w_1 \ldots w_{2^n}$.

Theorem 4.3. *If $H \ge n^2\, 2^{n+1}+1$, the identity $\Gamma^n A \Gamma^n = \Gamma^n B \Gamma^n$ holds for every pair A, B of diagonally H-dominant n-by-n tropical matrices.*

Proof. Assume $G = \Gamma$ and apply Lemma 4.2. For any κ_0 and κ_h, the quantities $[\Gamma^n A \Gamma^n]_{\kappa_0\kappa_h}$ and $[\Gamma^n B \Gamma^n]_{\kappa_0\kappa_h}$ are equal to the minimum of the expression β, and, by Lemma 4.2, this minimum does not depend on $X^{(ng+1)}$. □

5. The main result and conclusions

Let us apply the technique developed to construct a nontrivial identity which holds in the semigroup of tropical 3-by-3 matrices.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ and denote $\overline{A} = A^6B^6$, $\overline{B} = B^6A^6$; set also $\mathcal{U}(x,y) = x^2y^4x^2x^2y^2x^2y^4x^2$, $\mathcal{V}(x,y) = x^2y^4x^2y^2x^2x^2y^4x^2$. Define $\Gamma(x,y) = w_1 \ldots w_8$, where $w_1, \ldots, w_8 \in \{x,y\}^*$ are all possible words of length three. Define*

$$\mathcal{A} = \Gamma\left(\overline{A}^{146}, \overline{B}^{146}\right) \Gamma^3\left(\overline{A}, \overline{B}\right) \overline{A}\, \Gamma^3\left(\overline{A}, \overline{B}\right), \quad \mathcal{B} = \Gamma\left(\overline{A}^{146}, \overline{B}^{146}\right) \Gamma^3\left(\overline{A}, \overline{B}\right) \overline{B}\, \Gamma^3\left(\overline{A}, \overline{B}\right).$$

Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\,\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\,\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The main result of [3] states that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$ is an identity in the semigroup of tropical 2-by-2 matrices. So if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are sign-singular, then the result follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6. Otherwise, $\overline{A}$, $\overline{B}$, and $\Gamma\left(\overline{A}^{146}, \overline{B}^{146}\right)$ are sign-nonsingular by Corollary 2.2. From Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3 it follows that $id \in \Sigma\left(\overline{A}\right) \cap \Sigma\left(\overline{B}\right)$, and Corollary 2.4 implies $\overline{A}_{ii} = \overline{B}_{ii}$ for all i. Now we use Theorem 3.4 to conclude that $\overline{A}$, $\overline{B}$ are similar to a diagonally 145-dominant pair. From Theorem 4.3 we deduce $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, in which case the result follows because $\mathcal{U}(x, x) = \mathcal{V}(x, x)$. □

The theorem proven presents a step towards the conjecture proposed by Izhakian and Margolis in [3], stating that the semigroup of tropical n-by-n matrices admits a nontrivial identity for every n. We solved this problem in the case $n = 3$, which remained open, by constructing an explicit identity with 1 795 308 multipliers on every side. We also note that Theorems 3.4 and 4.3 reduce the problem of constructing an identity to sign-singular matrices, so that this special case deserves a detailed study in future research.

This study (research grant No 14-01-0053) was supported by The National Research University Higher School of Economics' Academic Fund Program in 2014/2015.

References

[1] M. Akian, S. Gaubert, A. Guterman, Linear independence over tropical semirings and beyond, Contemporary Mathematics, AMS, 495 (2009) 1–38.

[2] M. Develin, F. Santos, B. Sturmfels, On the rank of a tropical matrix, in Discrete and Computational Geometry (E. Goodman, J. Pach and E. Welzl, eds.), MSRI Publications, Cambridge Univ., Press, 2005.

[3] Z. Izhakian, S. W. Margolis, Semigroup identities in the monoid of two-by-two tropical matrices, Semigroup Forum, 80(2) (2010) 191–218.

[4] Z. Izhakian, Semigroup identities in the monoid of triangular tropical matrices, Semigroup Forum, pp. 1-17, Semigroup Forum, 2013.

[5] H. W. Kuhn, The Hungarian Method for the assignment problem, Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, 2 (1955) 83–97.

National Research University Higher School of Economics, 20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russia

E-mail address: yaroslav-shitov@yandex.ru